

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,220	10/30/2001	Kazutaka Ando	450100-03566	3009	
20999	7590 06/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	LAWRENCE & HAU	WORJLOH, JALATEE			
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. . NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3621		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	lication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/016	5,220	ANDO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit					
	<u> </u>		Worjloh	3621					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. atory period will apply an ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply be d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	ION. the timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 15 March 20	06.						
′=	,	) ☐ This action i							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-30,33 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,12-15,25,31,32,34,35,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) 4-11 and 16-24 is/are object								
·	Claim(s) are subject to restricti		n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	Fxaminer							
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•	• •	FR 1.121(d).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	ertified copies not rece	eived.					
				_					
Attachmeni	· ·		<b>4</b> □ 1π/2 1 2 <b>2</b>	(DTO 440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	D-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed March 15, 2006, in which claims 37 and 38 were canceled and claim 40 amended.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Note. In Applicants response, it was indicated that claims 1-3,13-15,31,32,37 and 28 were rejected under 35 USC 102; however, these claims were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6744891 to Allen in view of 2004/0136604 to Kuwata et al.

Applicants argue that "the data of Kuwata are note degraded and the quality of that data are not improved by Kuwata. The data is merely altered to present a different visual appearance." However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kuwata et al. disclose a processing unit correcting image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]). Notice, Kuwata et al. also teach "If the luminance distribution of the object seems dark on the whole, a correction may be made to make it lighter" (see paragraph [0042]); further, correcting a dark object to make it light is a form of "improving the quality of the data". Thus, Kuwata et al. in combination with Allen teach "quality improving means for improving the quality of data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement

Art Unit: 3621

information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data" (see the rejections below).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, claim 40 is merely claiming data stored on a medium.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Claim 39 is rejected as it depends on claim 40.

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim1-3, 13-15, 31,32, 37 and 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6744891 to Allen in view of US Publication No. 2004/0136604 to Kuwata et al.

Referring to claim 1, Allen discloses reading means (i.e. customer terminal) for reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which

Page 4

stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19) and improvementinformation requesting means (i.e. customer terminal) for requesting another improvement information used for improving the quality of the data read by the reading means (see col. 5, lines 32-35) and outputting high-quality data (see col. 5, lines 36-46). Allen does not expressly disclose quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data. Kuwata et al. disclose quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained and for outputting high-quality data (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]; notice, Kuwata et al. teach the processing unit correcting the image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen; paragraph [0042] shows an example of improving the quality of data by different criteria (i.e. "improvement information and the another improvement information)). Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it performs optimum image processing automatically based on the selected criterion (see paragraphs Kuwata [0044]& [0030]).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,220 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

Referring to claims 2, 14 and 38, Allen discloses the apparatus wherein the data is degraded data obtained by degrading the original data and the quality improving means can restore the original data from the degraded data according to at least the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19). Allen does not expressly disclose the quality improving means can restore the original data from the degraded data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information. Kuwata et al. disclose the quality improving means can restore the original data from the degraded data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]; notice, Kuwata et al. teach the processing unit correcting the image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen; paragraph [0042] shows an example of improving the quality of data by different criteria (i.e. "improvement information and the another improvement information)). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it performs optimum image processing automatically based on the selected criterion (see paragraphs Kuwata [0044]& [0030]).

Referring to claims 3 and 15, Allen discloses the quality improving means make highquality data of which the quality is improved by N+1 (N is a natural number) pieces of the Art Unit: 3621

improvement information and which is output have higher quality than high-quality data of which the quality is improved by N pieces of the improvement information and which is output (see col. 5, lines 32-46).

Referring to claim 13, Allen discloses reading means (i.e. customer terminal) for reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), improvementinformation requesting means (i.e. customer terminal) for requesting another improvement information used for improving the quality of the data read by the reading means (see col. 5, lines 32-35), quality improving means (i.e. data digital data processor) for outputting highquality data (see and improvement-information sending means for sending the improvement information to another information processing apparatus (see col. 5, lines 36-46 - customer may be provided with an option to select one of a number of different quality levels...still image data could be provided at one of five different resolution levels). Allen does not expressly disclose quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means. Kuwata et al. disclose quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained and for outputting high-quality data (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]; notice, Kuwata et al. teach the processing unit correcting the image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen; paragraph [0042] shows an

Art Unit: 3621

example of improving the quality of data by different criteria (i.e. "improvement information and the another improvement information) and paragraph [0165]). Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include quality improving means for improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it performs optimum image processing automatically based on the selected criterion (see paragraphs Kuwata [0044]& [0030]).

Referring to claims 31 and 34, Allen discloses reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), requesting another improvement information used for improving he quality of the read data (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information and outputting high-quality data (see col. 5, lines 36-46). Allen does not expressly disclose improving the quality of the data according to both at least the improvement information and the another improvement information. Kuwata et al. disclose improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained and outputting high-quality data (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]; notice, Kuwata et al. teach the processing unit correcting the image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen; paragraph [0042] shows an example of

Art Unit: 3621

improving the quality of data by different criteria (i.e. "improvement information and the another improvement information) and paragraph [0165]). Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclose by Allen to include the step of improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it performs optimum image processing automatically based on the selected criterion (see paragraphs Kuwata [0044]& [0030]).

Referring to claims 32 and 35, Allen discloses reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), requesting another improvement information used for improving the quality of the read data (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information according to a request and outputting high-quality data and sending the improvement information to another information processing apparatus (see col. 5, lines 36-46). Allen does not expressly disclose improving the quality of the data according to both at least the improvement information and the another improvement information. Kuwata et al. disclose improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained and outputting high-quality data (see paragraphs [0084], [0088] and [0089]; notice, Kuwata et al. teach the processing unit correcting the image data according to plural predetermined evaluation criteria. Thereby, the image can be lighten or darken and also sharpen; paragraph [0042] shows an example of improving the quality of data by different criteria (i.e. "improvement information and Art Unit: 3621

the another improvement information) and paragraph [0165]). Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclose by Allen to include the step of improving the quality of the data according to at least both the improvement information and the another improvement information. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it performs optimum image processing automatically based on the selected criterion (see paragraphs Kuwata [0044]& [0030]).

Referring to claim 37, Allen discloses data and improvement information for improving the quality of the data and for improving the quality of another data stored in another storage medium (see claim 1 above).

6. Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen and Kuwata et al. as applied to claim 1 above.

Allen discloses an improvement-information-request receiving means (i.e. digital data processor) for receiving an improvement-information request signal, improvement-information sending means (i.e. digital data processor) for sending the improvement information (see claim 1 above). Allen does not expressly disclose the signal indicating that another information processing apparatus request the improvement information and sending the information to the another information processing apparatus according to an improvement-information request signal received by the improvement-information-request-signal receiving means. However, Allen's receiving and sending means are connected to a plurality of user terminal (see fig. 1), which can all receive the improvement information. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/016,220 Page 10

Art Unit: 3621

by Allen to include an improvement-information-request-signal for receiving a request signal indicating that another information processing apparatus requests the improvement information and sending the information. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because provides adequate notification to the receiving means thereby preventing unauthorized individuals from receiving the data.

Referring to claim 25, Allen discloses improvement information requesting means for requesting improvement information, wherein the improvement information request include the identification information of the data, the identification information of the identification information (see claim 13 above). Allen does not expressly disclose the improvement information includes the user identification information. However, this difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally in the step recited. The process of requesting information would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983): In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include user identification information in the request. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because of the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3621

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-11 and 16-24are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jalatee Worjloh whose telephone number is (571) 272-6714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays 8:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3621

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Regular/After Final Actions and 571-273-6714 for Non-Official/Draft.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jalanse Worjloh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3621

May 30, 2006